



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/031,829	01/22/2002	Markus Heidrich	1960 8525	
7590 12/19/2003			EXAMINER	
Michael J Striker			NGUYEN, TRAN N	
Striker Striker & Stenby 103 East Neck Road Huntington, NY 11743			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2834	
			DATE MAILED: 12/19/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Annlicant(s)				
		Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/031,829 Examiner	HEIDRICH, MARKUS Art Unit				
	Tran N. Nguyen	2834				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe						
THE REPLY FILED 20 November 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to available final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	E THIS APPLICATION IN CON roid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment whicl	DITION FOR ALLOWANCE. ation. A proper reply to a n places the application in				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
 a)	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.136(a).	of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mai	unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) Methey present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	in hoghister				
* In cluding Interview Summary Tran N. Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2834						

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No. 10/031,829

Continuation of 2. NOTE: the new issue is that the coil body previously recited as integrally injected molded on the pole shoe, but now is proposed to be integrally extruding on the pole shoe..